

1B.

NATALITY: MATERNAL CHARACTERISTICS AND NEWBORN'S HEALTH

The number of resident births reached its recent peak in 2007 at 102,687 (**Table 1B-2**). In 2008, the number of resident births declined to 99,215; the first annual decline since 1991 (which, like 2008, also was an economic downturn year). Since then, births have declined from 87,053 in 2010 to 85,190 in 2011.

There were striking differences in how the number of births changed from 2007 to 2011 by mother's race/ethnicity. The number of births rose by 4.8 percent for Black or African American mothers. Compared to 2007, the number of births for all race/ethnic groups combined was 17.0 percent lower in 2011. Hispanic or Latino women experienced an unprecedented decrease of 29.2 percent (**Figure 1B-1**)

Since the 2008 edition of this report, we have been pointing out that Hispanics, unlike any other race/ethnic group in the State, faced in 2008-2011 not only the economic recession (shared by all), but also additional challenges such as the employer-sanction law (a penalty on employers hiring illegal immigrants), and a widespread practice of e-verify (checking the legal-residence status of those seeking employment). Considering all these factors, there is a reason to believe that there were fewer births among Hispanic or Latinos since 2007, because there were fewer Hispanic or Latino residents of Arizona in 2008-2011 than there were in 2007. In 2009, for the first time since 2003, the number of births among White non-Hispanics (39,781) exceeded the number of Hispanic or Latino births (38,362). In 2011, Hispanics or Latinos accounted for 15.4 percent fewer births than White non-Hispanic (32,398 vs. 38,294).





Figure 1B-1 Percent Change from 2007 to 2011 in the Number of Resident Live Births by Mother's Race/Ethnicity, Arizona

> From 2007 to 2011, the number of resident live births declined by 17.0 percent (Figure 1B-1). All except race/ethnic groups, Blacks or African Americans, experienced a decline. The magnitude of the reduction in the number of births was the largest among Hispanics or Latinos (-29.2 percent), and the smallest among Asian or Pacific Islanders (-2.7 percent).

Figure 1B-2 General Fertility Rates by Race/Ethnicity among Females of all Ages, Arizona, 2011




As we have already noted in Section 1A, the number of females aged 15-44 years was higher in 2011 (1,271,867) than the 2010 estimate of 1,262,557 based on the 2010 census enumeration. From among 1,271,867 women of childbearing age (15-44 years), 6.6 percent gave birth in 2011, a small decline from 2010. The general fertility rate (the number of births per 1,000 women 15-44 years old) also decreased in 2011. Excluding racial/ethnic Asians, all groups had a lower general fertility rate in 2011 than 2010. From 2010 to 2011, the general fertility rate for American Indian females decreased by 17.4 %

A comparison of fertility rates by county in Arizona is provided in **Table 5A-1**.

Number of births per 1,000 females 15-44 years old in specified group.


The total fertility rate indicates the average number of births to a hypothetical cohort of 1,000 women, if they experienced the age-specific birth rates observed in a given year throughout their childbearing years. From 2000 to 2008, the Arizona total fertility rates always exceeded the rate of "replacement" (2,110 births per 1,000 women, Table 1B-**1**). The "replacement" rate is considered the value at which a given generation can exactly replace itself. The total fertility was 2,058 per 1,000 births women of childbearing age in 2009 and 2010, and decreased to 2,001 in 2011. The fertility rates total differed substantially by race/ethnicity (Figure 1B-3). The 2011 total fertility rate of 2,684 for American Indian women exceeded the generation replacement rate by 27.2 percent. The rate for White non-Hispanic women (1,762) was 16.5 percent lower than the replacement rate.




The sum of age group-specific birth rates multiplied by five (the number of years in the age group). The rate of 2,001 above for example, means that if a hypothetical group of 1,000 women were to have the same birth rates in each age group that were observed in the actual childbearing population in 2011, they would have a total of 2,001 children (or 2.0 children each) by the time they reached the end of the reproductive period (taken here as age 50), assuming that all of the women survived to that age.

Another measure used to summarize reproduction patterns is the gross reproduction rate. It represents the average number of daughters born to a hypothetical cohort of 1,000 women if they experienced the agespecific birth rates observed in a year throughout aiven their childbearing years. This measure is similar to the total fertility rate except that it measures only female births, since reproduction is largely dependent on the number of females in a given population. In 2011, the gross reproduction rates in Arizona ranged from 861 for White non-Hispanic women to 1,322 for American Indian women (Figure 1B-4, Table 1B-1).





The sum of birth rates by 5-year age groups multiplied by the proportion of births which were female. The gross reproduction rate represents the average number of daughters born to a hypothetical cohort of 1,000 women if they experienced the age-specific birth rates observed in a given year throughout their childbearing years, and if none of the cohort was to die during their childbearing years.



The crude birth rate, often simply called the birth rate, relates the number of births to the total population in a specified group. The birth rate is expressed as the total number of births per 1,000 persons, without regard to the age or sex distribution of the population.

The birth rate for Arizona decreased to 13.2/1,000 in 2011 (a decline from the rate of 13.6/1,000 seen in 2010).

In 2011 the crude birth rates by mother's race/ethnicity ranged from 10.1 births per 1,000 White non-Hispanics to 17.7 per 1,000 Hispanics 1B-5). (Figure Τt is important to note that the actual number of births among Hispanics actually declined from 34,333 in 2010 to 32,398 in 2011, although the rate for Hispanic births increased slightly from 17.4/1,000 to 17.7/1,000.

Number of births per 1,000 population in specified group.




Figure 1B-6 Birth Rates by Mother's Age Group and Race/Ethnicity, Arizona, 2011

> The age-specific birth rates (the number of births to mothers in a particular age group per 1,000 women in that age group) differed substantially by race/ethnicity (**Figure 1B-6**).

> In 2011, Hispanic and Black women had the highest birth rates for women in age groups up to 29 years. In contrast, the birth rates for women aged 30 years or older were the highest among Asian women. In general, Hispanic, Black, and American Indian women tend to give birth at younger ages than Asian and non-Hispanic White women.

*Number of births per 1,000 females in specified group.

Unmarried mothers have accounted for an increasing annual proportion of births throughout the 1980s and 1990s, with 44.3 percent in 2011 (**Table 1B-2**). In 2011, 37,780 infants were born to unmarried mothers compared to 33,583 in 2001 (**Table 1B-26**).

A decade ago, the proportion of births among unmarried women aged 20-24 years was 50.9 percent. This proportion has continued to rise from 2001 to 2011. In 2011, six out of ten (63.4 percent) mothers 20-24 years old were unmarried (**Figure 1B-7**).

Births and birth ratios by mother's marital status, age group, and race/ethnicity are given in **Table 1B-23**. County-level information is provided in Table **5B-14** and **5B-15**.





Figure 1B-7 Percent Births to Unmarried Mothers by Age Group, Arizona, 2011

Figure 1B-8 Number of Births in Twin and Triplet+ Deliveries by Year, Arizona, 2001-2011

The number of multiple birth events in Arizona declined from 2,868 in 2008, (the highest number ever recorded) to 2,397 in 2011 (**Figure 1B-8**). The number of babies born in twin deliveries decreased from 2,693 in 2008 to 2,312 in 2011 (**Figure 1B-8**). The number of triplet and higher order multiple birth events decreased by 51.4 percent from 175 in 2008 to 85 in 2011.







Figure 1B-9

The rise in multiple births has been associated with advances in, and greater access to, assisted reproductive technology (ART).

In 2011, the proportion of multiple births increased with maternal age, with a precipitous rise at age 45 years and older. Among women aged 45 years and older, 16.8 percent of all births were twins, triplets, or quadruplets (**Figure 1B-9**). This number decreased by 32.8% from 2010.

Figure 1B-10 Infants Born too Early (Preterm) and Infants Born too Small (LBW) among Multiple and Single Births, Arizona, 2011



Infants born in multiple deliveries tend to be born at shorter gestations and smaller than those born in singleton deliveries (**Figure 1B-10**). In 2011, infants born in multiple deliveries were 12.7 times more likely (46.9 vs. 3.7 percent) to be born earlier than expected (at less than 37 completed weeks of gestation) and smaller (at less than 2,500 grams) than singleton births.

Preterm birth is a leading cause of infant morbidity and mortality, accounting for almost two-thirds of infant deaths (63.5 percent; **Figure 2C-4** in section 2C on Age-Specific Mortality). The weight of the newborn also is an important predictor of future morbidity and mortality. Infants born at low birth weight account for 69.3 percent of all infant deaths (**Figure 2C-3**).

Preterm: < 37 weeks of gestation; LBW: low birthweight (less than 2,500 grams or 5 pounds 8 ounces). The proportion of preterm births decreased from 9.6 percent of all births in 2010 to 9.3 percent in 2011.

The proportion of infants born earlier than expected and smaller (at less than 2,500 grams) was 4.9/100 births in 2011 (**Figure 1B-11**).

Detailed characteristics of births by birthweight and gestational age are provided in **Table 1B-33.** Comparative data by county of residence are available in **Table 5B-16 – Table 5B-24**.




Figure 1B-11 Preterm and Low Birthweight (LBW) Births by Year, Arizona, 2001-2011

Preterm: < 37 weeks of gestation; LBW: low birthweight (less than 2,500 grams or 5 pounds 8 ounces).

Note: In this report, the primary measure used to determine the gestational age is the clinical estimate of gestation as reported on the birth certificate.

From 2003 to 2011, between 5.6 and 5.8 percent of all babies were born at low birthweight (LBW), or at less than 2,500 grams (5 pounds 8 ounces). Preterm delivery is the strongest risk factor for LBW. Infants born at less than 37 completed weeks of gestation are 22.9 times (52.7 vs. 2.3 percent) more likely to be LBW than infants born at term (Figure 1B-12). Seven out of ten (70.1 percent) LBW babies born in 2011 were preterm (Table 1B-3).

County-level data for LBW newborns are available in **Tables 5B-16 – 5B-23**. Community-level information is in **Table 9A**.




Figure 1B-12 Low-Birthweight (LBW) Births by Length of Gestation and Mother's Race/Ethnicity, Arizona, 2011

Preterm: < 37 weeks of gestation; LBW: low birthweight (less than 2,500 grams or 5 pounds 8 ounces).





Figure 1B-13 Percent of Resident Births by Month, Arizona, 2011

> Historically, births in Arizona have peaked during August and September, with a monthly average around 8.3 percent. (Figure 1B-13).

Figure 1B-14 Percent of Resident Births by Day of the Week, Arizona, 2011



On average 234 infants were born per day in 2011 to Arizona residents. The daily average of resident live births in 2011 was substantially lower on weekends than on weekdays (Figure 1B-14). Many studies suggest that weekly, daily, and hourly variations observed in hospitals and clinics are not due to a biological rhythm of labor, but to increased frequency of obstetric interventions in the timing of delivery (induced labors and elective cesarean deliveries), making it more aligned with the work week schedule.

In 2011, only 10.3 percent of repeat cesarean deliveries occurred on Sundays, compared to 14.5 percent on Mondays. The average rate of induction of labor was substantially lower on weekend days (8.4 percent) than it was on week days (16.6 percent).

The number of years of maternal education was the only possible index of socioeconomic status (SES) on the birth certificate prior to 1989. Paying party for the delivery became another SES indicator in 1989. The Arizona Health Care Cost Containment System (AHCCCS, the State's Medicaid Program) versus private health insurance (PHI) compares mothers of lower and higher SES respectively. The payee's SES indicator is strongly related to the maternal education indicator. PHI mothers were 2.7 times more likely to have some college education than were AHCCCS mothers (74.7 and 27.7 percent respectively, Figure 1B-15). Around 8 percent of AHCCCS mothers had 0-8 years of 9.5 education, times the proportion of PHI mothers. Only 17.6 percent of mothers with PHI were unmarried compared to 64.8 percent of AHCCCS mothers. Eight out of ten mothers with PHI were at least 25 years old compared to 5 out of 10 AHCCCS mothers.

Since 2002, the share of resident births paid for by AHCCCS continues to exceed the share paid by private health insurance (**Figure 1B-16**). In 2001, private insurance funded 48.8 percent of births and AHCCCS paid for 45.4 percent of births. Since 2001, the percent of births paid for by private insurance has decreased 15.0 percent with the percent of births paid for by AHCCCS increasing by 16.7 percent.

The share of AHCCCS funded births varied little from 2005 to 2011. The share of private health insurance also remained stable during this time period. In 2011, the payment source was the mothers themselves and/or their families (i.e., self-pay) in 3.1 percent of the deliveries. The Indian Health Service paid for 2.0 percent of the births in 2011 (Table 1B-28; see also Table 1B-25).





Figure 1B-15 Comparison of Selected Sociodemographic Characteristics by the Payee for Delivery, Arizona, 2011

Note: The Arizona Health Care Cost Containment System (AHCCCS) is the State's Medicaid program.

100.0 Per 100 births: 75.0 AHCCCS* 50.0 Private insurance 25.0 Self IHS** 0.0 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 AHCCCS-45.4 48.8 50.5 51.2 52.6 52.1 52.2 52.5 53.5 53.3 53.0 Private 48.8 45.7 43.8 42.7 41.6 42.3 42.0 42.0 41.0 41.0 41.5 insurance Self 2.6 2.3 2.1 2.4 2.8 3.0 2.8 2.6 2.7 3.0 3.1 IHS 1.5 1.5 1.7 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.9 1.9 1.8 2.0 2.0

Figure 1B-16 Births by Payer and Year, Arizona, 2001-2011

* The Arizona Health Care Cost Containment System (AHCCCS) is the State's Medicaid program. ** The Indian Health Service.




In 2011, the Arizona Health Care Cost Containment System (AHCCCS) paid for the absolute majority of the deliveries to mothers 24 years or younger (**Figure 1B-17**). In contrast, private insurance was the largest payer for the deliveries of women giving birth who were 25 years old or older in 2011 (based on data in **Table 1B-28**).

For each of the age groups the AHCCCS share substantially increased since 1989. Below are the proportions of deliveries paid for by the AHCCCS in 1989:

<15 years: 45.3 percent
15-19 years: 49.0 percent
20-24 years: 34.3 percent
25-29 years: 19.4 percent
30-34 years: 14.5 percent
35-39 years: 13.9 percent
40+ years: 14.2 percent.

From 1989 to 2011, the AHCCCS share more than doubled among mothers 25 years old or older.

Note: The Arizona Health Care Cost Containment System (AHCCCS) is the State's Medicaid program.



Note: The Arizona Health Care Cost Containment System (AHCCCS) is the State's Medicaid program. Other = Indian Health Service, self, or unknown. In 2011, private insurance was the largest payer for deliveries of Asian (at 67.0 percent) and White non-Hispanic infants (at 60.2 percent). In contrast, the Arizona Health Care Cost Containment System was the largest payer for deliveries of Hispanic or Latino (72.7 percent), Black or African American (68.2 percent) and American Indian women (59.1 percent).

The Indian Health Service as a payer accounted for a 26.8 percent share of deliveries of American Indian or Alaska Native infants in the State (**Figure 1B-18**, based on data in **Table 1B-28**).

Hispanics or Latino accounted for 52.2 percent of the 45,148 deliveries paid for by the AHCCCS. Thirty percent of all AHCCCS births were to White non-Hispanic women (based on data in **Table 1B-28**).

In 2011, 7.0 percent of all Arizona infants were born at a low birthweight (LBW), or at less than 2,500 grams (5 pounds 8 ounces).

In Arizona, LBW rates differed by mother's race/ethnic group. LBW rates were highest for newborns of Black or African American mothers (12.5 percent) and Asian or Pacific Islander mothers (8.3 percent) mothers. Newborns of American Indian, Hispanic or Latino, and White non-Hispanic mothers had the lowest LBW rates (6.9, 6.7, and 6.4 percent respectively; based on data in **Table 1B-26**).





Figure 1B-19

Percent Low Birthweight* by Mother's Race/Ethnicity,

Arizona Residents, 2011


Low birthweight is less than 2,500 grams (less than 5 pounds 8 ounces).





The percent of Arizona mothers giving birth who received early prenatal care (i.e., in the first trimester) increased from 80.3 in 2009 to 81.7 in 2011 (**Table 1B-2**).

In Arizona, American Indian, Hispanic or Latino, and Black or African American mothers were least likely to begin prenatal care in the first trimester (**Figure 1B-20**). The highest rates of utilization of early prenatal care were among White non-Hispanic and Asian or Pacific Islander mothers (based on data in **Table 1B-26**).



Maternal medical risk factors (such as anemia, diabetes, hypertension, or kidney disease) can contribute to serious pregnancy complications and infant deaths, if not treated properly. In 2011, American Indian or Alaska Native and Black or African American women giving birth had the highest proportion of medical risk factors (44.5 and 41.7 percent respectively; **Figure 1B-21**). For American Indians, this rate of maternal medical risk factors per 100 births increased by 7.5 percent from 2010.

Births with medical risk factors reported per 100 births in specified group.





Figure 1B-22 Risk for Low-Birthweight by Maternal Weight Gain during Pregnancy, Arizona, 2011

Maternal weight gain during pregnancy is a determinant of both fetal growth and birthweight. Insufficient or gain excessive weight during pregnancy can negatively affect both maternal and pregnancy outcome (see Figure 2C-4.3). Women who are of normal weight (average body mass index or BMI) should gain 21-35 pounds during pregnancy. Women who are underweight should gain more (28-40 pounds), and women who are overweight should gain less (15 to 25 pounds). Unfortunately, it is not possible to determine whether weight gain is within the recommendations for the mother's BMI because the mother's pre-pregnancy weight and height is not reported on the birth certificate.


Maternal weight gain has been shown to be correlated with infant birthweight. In 2011, as in previous years, the percent of infants with low birthweight decreased with increasing maternal weight gain (**Figure 1B-22**).

Cigarette smoking during pregnancy has been associated with reduced infant weight at birth, intrauterine growth retardation, and preterm births. Smoking during pregnancy was reported by 4.3 percent of women giving birth in 2011 (Table 1B-26, Table 5B-30), compared to 10.5 percent in 1989, when this information was first reported on Arizona birth certificates. It is unclear, whether this decline means that women giving birth in Arizona are less likely to use tobacco during pregnancy or, perhaps, less likely to report it when they use. White non-Hispanic and Black mothers continued to be more likely to report smoking than American Indian, Asian, and Hispanic mothers (Figure 1B-23).



Mothers giving birth who reported tobacco use per 100 births in specified group.


In 2011, 4,635, or 5.4 percent of newborns were admitted to newborn (NICUs). intensive care units Surprisingly, only 47.4 percent of the NICU admissions were low birthweight Prematurity, i.e., (LBW) babies. gestational age before 37 weeks captured more NICU admissions than did LBW, with 56.9 admissions being premature (based on data in Table 1B-33). The proportion of NICU admissions differed among race/ethnic groups. In 2011, the rate of NICU admissions for Black or African American (7.5 percent) was the highest among race/ethnic groups (Table 1B-26).



Rates of Admission to Newborn Intensive Care Units per 100 Births by Mother's Race/Ethnicity, Arizona, 2011

Figure 1B-24


The number of newborns admitted to Intensive Care Units per 100 births in specified group.



Information about maternal drug use during pregnancy is not reported on Arizona birth certificates. However, it can be obtained from the hospital discharge database. There are several diagnostic codes which identify exposure of fetus or newborn to specific noxious substances (such as narcotics, hallucinogenic agent, or cocaine) transmitted via placenta or breast milk. Following the four years of decline between 2005 and 2009 in the number of newborns hospitalized after birth due to maternal drug use during pregnancy, the rate increased from 10.7/1,000 in 2009 to 12.7/1,000 in 2011, representing an 18.7 percent increase.

The diagnostic codes and additional information about hospitalizations related to noxious influences affecting the fetus are available online on the Health Status and Vital Statistics website at http://www.azdhs.gov/plan/hip/for/substance/index.htm





The rate of cesarean delivery increased to an all-time high of 27.9 percent of all resident births in 2001 (**Figure 1B-26**, **Table 1B-2**).

The primary cesarean rate in 2011 (14.7 per 100 live births to women who had no previous cesarean) decreased by 16.5 percent from 2009 (17.6 per 100 live births).

The rate of vaginal birth after previous cesarean delivery (VBAC) declined 93.3 percent from a recent high of 16.3 in 2000 to 1.1 in 2011.

60.0%

Percent births with abnormal conditions of the newborn in specified gestational age group: Since the first year these data were collected, three of the eight 45.0% specific abnormal conditions listed on the birth certificate have been reported most frequently: assisted ventilation less than 30 minutes, 30.0% assisted ventilation of 30 minutes or longer, and hyaline membrane distress 40.8% Hyaline 15.0% membrane disease/RDS is а 20.0% common cause of morbidity in preterm infants. The rates of 10.6% 8.1% 7.6% 6.7% abnormal conditions are the 0.0% highest among very preterm (less <32 32-36 37 38-39 40 41 than 32 weeks of gestation) and weeks weeks weeks weeks weeks weeks moderately preterm (32-36 weeks GESTATIONAL AGE of gestation; Figure 1B-27).



Figure 1B-28 The Incidence of Downs Syndrome by Mother's Age Group, Arizona, 2011

Congenital anomalies (birth defects) are the leading cause of

cause of physical defects and

in Arizona and

They are also the

infant death

metabolic diseases.

nationally.


respiratory

(RDS).

disease/

syndrome

For various anomalies, rates vary widely with maternal age. For example, in 2011 as in prior the rate of Down's years, Syndrome, the most frequently cause recognized of mental retardation was substantially higher for births to mothers aged 35 years and over (Figure 1B-28, Table 1B-34). The incidence rate of 167.0 cases of Down's Syndrome per 100,000 births to women 35 years or older was 6.3 times greater than the incidence rate of 26.5 for women aged 24 years or younger.



8.5%

42+

weeks